

Predator Control for Sustainable & Organic Livestock Production

LIVESTOCK TECHNICAL NOTE

Abstract: This publication examines how to identify livestock predators and how to control them. Many species of animals can be classified as predators, but coyotes and dogs account for more than three-quarters of all livestock lost to predators. This publication focuses primarily on the control of coyotes and dogs through management practices, such as fencing and secure areas, and the use of guard animals, such as dogs, donkeys, and llamas.

By NCAT Staff, October 2002

Portions of this publication are based on the Predator FAQ <<http://www.18james.com/rural/predator.html>>©2002 by Ronald Florence, with the permission of the author.

Introduction

It is virtually impossible to eliminate all predators and the damage they cause to livestock, but good management can reduce this damage and still be consistent with sustainable or organic livestock production. Because every farm is different, there is no single practice or single combination of practices that will be right for every situation. Therefore, when predators strike, it is important to be aware of all options available for their control and to act at once. Writing in the Ontario(Canada) Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs publication *Management Practices Can Influence Predation*, Anita O'Brien says:

For managing predation, a variety of methods must be available; one method will not be effective for every producer. Most successful predator control programs use an integrated approach—combining good husbandry with effective control methods.

Prevention cannot be stressed enough, because after predators kill once they are more than likely to return and kill again. If predators have started killing sheep, it is important to stop the killing as quickly as possible. (1)

All species of livestock are susceptible to predation, especially young animals, but sheep and goats suffer most. Therefore, while the information here is applicable to all livestock, it is directed especially toward protecting sheep and goats.

Identifying Predator Attacks

Livestock can die or disappear for many reasons—predators, disease, poisonous plants, bloat, exposure, theft, stillbirth—and even clear evidence that a predator has been feeding on a carcass is not evidence that the predator was the killer, because most preda-




Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Identifying Predator Attacks	1
Management Techniques to Minimize Predator Losses	5
Guard Animals	7
References	12
Further Resources	13
Appendix: Disposal of Dead Livestock	16

tors will scavenge on dead livestock (2). The best proof that a predator has been at work – and the best means of identifying it – is when a large animal has been attacked and is largely intact, although the disappearance of young animals may also be a sign of predator activity.

Predation can have a devastating effect not only on livestock but on the livelihood of the farmer as well. According to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) report *Sheep and Goat Predator Loss*, U.S. sheep and lamb losses to predators totaled 273,000 animals in 1999. As you can see from Table 1 below, coyotes and dogs caused more than 75 percent of those losses. This represented more than one-third of the total losses of sheep and lambs from all causes and resulted in a cost to farmers of more than \$16 million (3).

According to *Something's Been Killing My Sheep – But What? How to Differentiate Between Coyote and Dog Predation*, a publication of the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural

Affairs, predation has risen rapidly during the past 10 to 15 years, causing ever-increasing losses to sheep operations. Ontario producers reported almost three times more sheep lost in 1995 (3,060) than in 1986 (1,149). The total would have been higher, the publication states, if losses to dogs – both feral and domestic – and unexplained disappearances had been included (4).

Once a carcass has begun to decompose or has been scavenged, it's often hard to determine whether the animal was killed by a predator or died of other causes. To differentiate between the two, it's necessary to examine the overall appearance of the carcass, including the condition of the coat, the eyes, ears, and feces (firm or diarrhetic), even the position of the animal in death (animals that have died of natural causes are usually found on their sides or on their chests with their legs folded under them) (5).

Although the pattern of killing typical of a predator species can sometimes help identify the problem predator, an individual's killing style can

Table 1. Losses of Sheep and Lambs from Predators: Number of Head and Total Value, United States, 1999.

Predator	Number of Head <i>Number</i>	% of Total Predators <i>Percent</i>	Total Value <i>1,000 Dollars</i>
Coyotes	165,800	60.7	9,637
Dogs	41,300	15.1	2,982
Mountain Lions, Cougars, or Pumas	15,600	5.7	998
Bears	7,800	2.9	555
Foxes	8,100	3	400
Eagles	10,700	3.9	522
Bobcats	12,700	4.7	650
All Other Animals	11,000	4	758
US	273,000	100	16,502

Reference NASS (4)

overlap the killing style of another species. Other types of evidence, such as tracks and feces, are sometimes necessary to correctly identify the kind of predator responsible (2).

The Wildlife Services (WS) of the USDA/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) is the federal agency to contact with livestock predation problems. They work with farmers and ranchers to protect agricultural resources in a way that is practical, humane, effective, and environmentally sound. They can help you identify predators and offer remedies that will minimize the impact on wildlife (6). Each state's Wildlife Service activity report, along with the state WS contact information, is available at <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/statereportindex.html>>.

An excellent publication, *Procedures for Evaluating Predation on Livestock and Wildlife*, is located at <<http://texnat.tamu.edu/ranchref/predator/b-1429-2.htm>>. This publication provides details on many of the observations that are needed to determine whether a predator is the cause of livestock death. It also provides specific information on the typical killing patterns for most of the predator species.

Prevention and Control of Wildlife Damage – 1994 has separate chapters on more than 90 species of wildlife that may cause damage to crops or livestock. Each of these chapters covers identification, damage-prevention, and control. The book is available at <<http://deal.unl.edu/icwdm/handbook.shtml>>. The 90 species-chapters are listed alphabetically. The book is also available on CD-ROM or in paper copy. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)

The 36-page Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development publication *Methods of Investigating Predation of Livestock* outlines how to tell whether a predator killed an animal and how to identify the predator. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)

The Maryland Small Ruminant webpage "Predator and wildlife management" is a rich

source of information, with links to many different sites and publications covering all areas of predator-damage control and management. The webpage is located at <<http://www.sheepandgoat.com/predator.html>>.

COYOTES AND DOGS AS PREDATORS

When stock is killed or missing, it is most likely that the predator responsible is either a coyote or a dog. The NASS *Sheep and Goats Predator Loss* table shown above reveals that in 1999 coyotes and dogs caused more than 75 percent of all predator losses for sheep, with losses to coyotes alone topping 60 percent. Coyotes have become a problem in almost all of the United States, Canada, and Mexico. The state Wildlife Service can verify the legal status of coyotes in your state; contact information is available at <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/statereportindex.html>>.

Most states allow coyotes to be shot or trapped at any time, if they are causing damage, but some states have different regulations or specific hunting seasons only.

In some cases, a producer may have difficulty trying to decide whether a coyote, a neighbor's

dog, or their own dog was the killer. The Ontario publication *Something's Been Killing My Sheep – But What? How to Differentiate Between Coyote and Dog Predation* lists ten criteria that can help determine the culprit. They are: time of attack; duration of attack; temperament of flock; extent of attack or kill; location of attack or carcasses; target animals; attacking behavior; feeding behavior; tracks at site; and droppings (4). The publication is available at <<http://www.gov.on.ca:80/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/coydog2.htm>>.

Some of the criteria used to distinguish between coyote and dog predation are:

- Coyotes tend to kill quickly, at night or early dawn, by biting sheep on the throat just behind the jaw and under the ears.



- Coyotes will generally kill only one or two animals, and only close to areas with plenty of cover to allow the coyotes to escape. Coyotes eat their kill by first feeding on the abdominal cavity.
- Coyotes are probably responsible if lambs or small animals are missing, because coyotes will take smaller animals back to their den, especially when feeding their pups.
- Dogs will attack at any time of the day or night.
- Dogs are usually poor predators, and their attacks last much longer, affecting more of the flock, so the animals are more nervous and confused after the attack.
- Dogs usually attack sheep or other livestock for the chase, not for food. Dog attacks usually cause more slashing and ripping wounds and the mutilation of legs, ears, tails, and hindquarters, on both the dead and surviving animals (5).

The 31-page Alberta book *Coyote Predation of Livestock* provides information to help producers prevent or reduce losses from coyotes. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)

If a dog or pack of dogs is the culprit, what can the producer do? The Ontario publication *Family Dogs Attack Sheep* cites an Australian study of 1,400 dogs that attacked livestock. In the study, the authorities used trained tracking dogs to follow the offending dogs home. The authorities found that most of the dog owners would not believe that their dogs had attacked the livestock. Most of the owners believed that their dogs were either too small, young, or friendly to commit such an act. None-the-less, the publication states:

The researchers caught dogs from 3 months to 12 years of age, intact and sterilized dogs of both sexes, purebred and mongrel; all attacking livestock. Most of these dogs were well fed, friendly, family pets, running at large. Selective breeding has not suppressed the tendency of any breed of dog to attack and kill livestock. Animal behaviorists say it is not possible to predict whether a particular dog will attack sheep or not.

Owners should understand the reason why a dog attacks sheep—it's all for the love of the game (7).

Dr. C. V. Ross, in his book *Sheep Production and Management*, suggests that livestock producers learn their legal rights concerning the control of dogs in their areas. He explains that there is great variation among laws concerning predatory dogs. Livestock owners "have the right to protect their property from damage, but there are all kinds of variations in the interpretation of protecting property and therein lies the basis for many bitter and costly lawsuits" (8). Livestock producers have lost cases in court when they have killed dogs on their property that were not caught in the immediate act of killing livestock.

WOLVES AS PREDATORS

In states such as Minnesota and Wisconsin where wolves have been reintroduced, producers need to consider the increased challenge of protecting livestock from these adaptable predators. In most states where wolves have been reintroduced, livestock killed by wolves is compensated for by the state, upon presentation of evidence that it was a wolf kill. The publication *Wolves in Farm Country: A Guide for Minnesota Farmers and Ranchers Living in Wolf Territory* provides information on what to do if a wolf kill is suspected, whom to contact, and how to preserve the evidence. It is available at <<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/AMS/wolf.htm>>. The publication cautions:

Wolves are protected under federal law. It is illegal to harm or kill a wolf, except in defense of human life. Any attempt to frighten away wolves returning to kill other animals or to feed on dead livestock must be done without harming the wolf (9).

The Canadian Federation of Agriculture publication *Preventing Wolf Predation on Private Land* provides some specific methods to reduce wolf predation, but remember that the wolf is not protected in Canada and that hunting, trapping, and snaring are permitted there. The publication is available at <<http://www.cfa-fca.ca/english/publications/wildlife/wolf.htm>>.


Management Techniques to Minimize Predator Losses

All management techniques have advantages and disadvantages. Some will work for one producer but not for another. It is important for producers to combine the management techniques best suited to their operations with the most effective predator control methods for their circumstances.

FENCING

Specially constructed woven (mesh) wire or electric fencing can be useful in a management strategy for deterring predators. The USDA/APHIS publication *A Producers Guide to Preventing Predation of Livestock* states:

The success of various types of fencing in excluding predators ranges from zero to 100 percent. Density and behavior of coyotes, terrain and vegetative conditions, availability of prey, size of pastures, season of the year, design of the fence, quality of construction, maintenance, and other factors interplay in determining how effective a fence will be. Fencing is most likely to be cost effective when the potential for predation is high, where there is a potential for a high stocking rate, or where existing fences can be electrified. Fencing is more effective when incorporated with other means of predator control (10).




Fencing is most successful if it is strung before the predator has established a pattern of movement. If coyotes have been feeding on livestock in a pasture, the construction of a fence will probably not deter them, since they already recognize the livestock as food. The USDA/APHIS publication *A Producers Guide to Preventing Predation of Livestock* comments that “because

predator exclusion fences may restrict movement of other wild species, especially large game animals, Federal or State regulations may prohibit construction of effective fences in some areas” (10).

Building a new mesh or woven wire fence for predator management can be expensive. A properly constructed 5½- to 6-foot mesh wire fence should have horizontal spacing of less than 6 inches and vertical spacing of 2 to 3 inches. It should have barbed wire at ground level and barbed wire, electric wire, or wire overhangs on top to help deter predators that will climb or dig under fences.

Multiple strands of single-wire electric fencing can cost less than new mesh fencing. Seven or nine strands of high-tensile smooth wire, with alternating charged and grounded wires (beginning with a charged bottom wire) can help reduce predation. A Canadian predation study in the mid 1970s showed a 90 percent reduction in sheep lost to predation in pastures with electrified fences (11). Electric fences require maintenance to ensure proper livestock protection, and snow and frozen ground can greatly reduce the effectiveness of electric fencing (11).



Adding electric wires at the top and electric trip wires to the bottom and middle of a mesh fence that is in good condition can help make it an effective predator barrier and is probably more cost-effective than replacement. An electric trip wire placed about 6 inches off the ground and 8 inches outside the woven wire fence will help prevent predators from digging under it. Electric wires added to the top and at various intervals along the woven wire fence will help discourage predators from climbing or jumping the fence.

Detailed information on building fences is available from the following sources:

- The Alberta publication *Protecting Livestock from Predation with Electric Fencing* at <<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/agdex/600/684-7.html>>
- The 47-page book *Fencing with Electricity* published by the Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development Publication Office is intended to help producers choose and build the right electric fences for their operations. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)
- The book *...May Safely Graze: Protecting Livestock Against Predators* by Eugene Fytche has a chapter on predator control fencing. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)
- The article “Sheep In, Coyotes Out: High Tensile Electric Fencing” at <http://www.suite101.com/print_article.cfm/9948/63040>.
- The chapter entitled “Fencing Against Predators” from the *Sheep Production Handbook* at <<http://www.sheepusa.org/resources/predcontrol.shtml>>.
- The 1983 Oregon State University publication *Building an Electric Antipredator Fence* at <<http://eesc.orst.edu/agcomwebfile/edmat/PNW225.pdf>>.
- The Maryland Small Ruminant Webpage is an excellent source of fencing information. It also has links to many publications on fencing, as well as many fencing vendors at <<http://www.sheepandgoat.com/fencing.html>>.

RECORD KEEPING

Accurate records provide a ready way to know when livestock is missing from a pasture. Knowing quickly that a loss has occurred helps speed the response to a predator problem. In addition, knowing the exact number and location of the losses can help to identify the predation pattern and the problem areas on the farm or ranch (1).

NIGHT CONFINEMENT CLOSE TO RESIDENCES

Because many predators, including coyotes, are usually active between dusk and dawn, con-

fining livestock in predator-proof pens at night can reduce losses. In addition, some predators are reluctant to approach any place where humans are present. Livestock will learn to come to the secure pens when they are regularly penned at night. Additional labor and maintenance of facilities may be required (12).

LAMBING IN SHEDS OR SECURE LOTS

Lambing in sheds or secure lots can reduce losses to predators. Shed lambing allows the producer greater access to the sheep to assist with lambing and will also provide the opportunity for lambing earlier in the season. The main disadvantages of shed lambing are the initial cost of the shed and the additional labor needed (13).

PROMPT REMOVAL OF ALL DEAD LIVESTOCK

Dead animals attract coyotes and other scavenging predators. Unless the dead animals are removed, the predators will return to feed on them. Coyotes may depend on dead animals to remain in livestock-raising areas (12). One Canadian study found that on farms that promptly removed dead livestock, predator losses were lower than on farms where dead livestock were not removed (13). See the **Appendix** for information on various livestock disposal methods.

USING LARGER LIVESTOCK IN ROUGHER PASTURES WITH HISTORIES OF PREDATOR PROBLEMS

Pastures with a history of predator problems should be avoided—especially during lambing. Pastures with rough terrain or dense vegetation provide good cover for predators. Placing larger animals in these pastures will usually reduce the incidence of predation (10).

NOISE, LIGHT, AND OTHER DETERRENTS

Predators can display uncanny abilities to outwit a producer’s attempts to protect livestock. Producers may need to use more than one practice concurrently, and probably will need to vary the practices occasionally. Most predators are wary of any changes in their territory and will shy away from anything different until they become familiar with it. The following are several devices that help discourage predators.

Electronic Guard

Developed by the USDA/APHIS/Wildlife Service, the Electronic Guard is a light-sensing device that is activated at dusk and de-activated at dawn. It combines a strobe light and a siren going off in random order. The random intervals help prevent predators from becoming accustomed to it. According to William Paul and Philip Gipson, authors of "Wolves," in *Prevention and Control of Wildlife Damage – 1994*, the Electronic Guard may be useful in reducing livestock predation for up to four months. They say that it is most effective in small, open pastures, around penned livestock (14). Specific information on the use of the Electronic Guard is available at <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/eguard.html>>. Producers can contact their state Wildlife Service to see whether there is an Electronic Guard to rent; contact information for state Wildlife Services is available at <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/statereportindex.html>>. The Electronic Guard costs about \$270, not including the battery. An Electronic Guard can be ordered by writing the WS Pocatello Supply Depot, 238 E. Dillon St., Pocatello, ID 83201, or by calling (208) 236-6920.

Night lighting

Lighting corrals at night may serve to frighten some predators away, but may also attract roaming dogs to the stock. Lights will allow the producer to see any predators that are in the pen. Lighting doesn't usually affect the livestock, and they adapt quickly (10). In a 1977 Kansas study involving 100 Kansas sheep producers, lighting corrals at night had the most obvious effect on losses from predators. Of the 79 sheep killed by coyotes in corrals, only 3 were lost in corrals with lights (15).

Propane exploder

Propane exploders produce loud explosions at random intervals. They work best when the interval is fairly short and the location is changed every couple of days. The Predator Defense Institute website publication *Controlling Coyote Damage to Livestock* says that the exploders are effective only temporarily, because coyotes become accustomed to the noise (12).

Bells

Producers have put bells on sheep for years to discourage predators; however, there are no data to establish the usefulness of this practice.

Bells help to locate the sheep or to alert the producer to predator trouble in the flock (10). The Predator Defense Institute website publication *Controlling Coyote Damage to Livestock* states that "A study in Kansas found that coyotes never attacked belled sheep in a flock, perhaps because of their 'strangeness' "(12).

Radio

According to the Predator Defense Institute, "Use of a tractor radio or other loud radio tuned to an all night station (especially talk radio) is at least temporarily effective at deterring coyotes" (12).

Parking vehicle in area of loss

Parking a car or pickup near the area where losses are occurring may temporarily deter coyotes, especially if the vehicle is moved frequently (17).

Other visual and noise distractions

Eugene L. Fytche, author of *...May Safely Graze*, cites a producer who used visual distractions around the edges of his pasture. These included large pieces of Styrofoam, wheel discs, aluminum pie plates, wind chimes, plastic oil containers filled with a variety of liquids, balloons, old clothes, and whatever came to hand. Fytche commented that the producer didn't have any losses in three years despite living in a high-risk area (16).



Guard Animals

Dogs, donkeys, and llamas can all serve as full-time guard animals, but the effectiveness of any of them will also depend on the bonding, training, instincts, and temperament of individual animals. All guard animals require an invest-

ment of time and money, and there is no guarantee that they will be successful.

Sometimes a single guard animal will not be enough to protect the livestock. Several guard dogs may be necessary to patrol larger areas or to better protect against packs of predators. A llama and guard dog combination can be trained to work cooperatively, but donkeys or llamas will not properly bond to livestock if more than one of their own species is present with the livestock. Rotational grazing can sometimes help, because the livestock are confined to a smaller area, allowing guard animals to be more effective.

Producers should research the costs and advantages of the various guard animals, and seek advice from other producers in the area with guard animal experience. Producers need to remember that guard animals by themselves will probably not be successful without implementation of other predator control methods. No one predator control method will solve every producer's predator problem, but combining several methods can help.

The following are good sources of general information on livestock guard animals:

- The Maryland Small Ruminant webpage "Predator and wildlife management" at <http://www.sheepandgoat.com/predator.html>.
- The Missouri Department of Conservation publication *Using Guard Animals to Protect Livestock* at http://www.conservation.state.mo.us/documents/landown/wild/guard_animals.pdf.
- The book *...May Safely Graze: Protecting Livestock Against Predators* by Eugene Fytche, which has several chapters on different guard animals. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)

GUARD DOGS

Livestock-guarding dogs originated in Europe and Asia. Most are large (80–120 pounds), mainly white breeds. Guard dogs do not herd sheep; they are full-time members of the flock. They stay with or near the flock most of the time and aggressively protect the sheep. In some instances guard dogs may injure the stock they are guarding or attack other animals, such as pets that enter their territory. They may also confront unfamiliar people (hikers, etc.) who approach the livestock. Producers using guard dogs should post signs to alert passers-by and plan to escort visitors going near the sheep (17). Neighbors should also be notified that you are using a guard dog, because a patrolling guard dog may be mistaken for a predator dog.

Usually, a successful guard dog is a standard guard breed that has been properly reared and trained. But sometimes, despite good breeding and training, a dog just won't guard properly. Many, but not all, of these failures trace back to improper rearing or to the dog being too old to bond with the sheep. Research and surveys indicate that only about three-fourths of guard dogs are temperamentally suited to being good guardians (17). In order to properly raise the best guard dog, the producer needs to understand what a good guard dog does, assess

Some key points for raising a guard dog are:

- Select a suitable breed and reputable breeder.
- Rear pups singly from 8 weeks of age with sheep, minimizing human contact (probably the most critical ingredient for success).
- Monitor the dog and correct undesirable behaviors.
- Encourage the dog to remain with or near the livestock.
- Ensure the dog's health and safety.
- Manage the livestock in accordance with the dog's age and experience (e.g., use smaller pastures while the dog is young and inexperienced).
- Be patient and allow plenty of time to train your dog. Remember that a guardian dog may take 2 years or more to mature. (17)

the temperament of the pup, and raise it correctly.

The nearest office of the USDA/APHIS Wildlife Services (WS) should have additional information about using dogs to guard livestock. State WS contact information is available at <http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/statereportindex.html>.

The USDA/APHIS/WS has two predator prevention publications, *Livestock Guarding Dogs*

Protecting Sheep from Predators and A Producers Guide to Preventing Predation of Livestock, as well as a loaner video on using guardian dogs. These free publications and the video are available by contacting USDA/APHIS/LPA, Wildlife Service Publications, 4700 River Road, Unit 51, Riverdale, MD 20737, or by phone at (301) 734-7799. The publications are also available at <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/guarddog.pdf>> or <[/prodguide.pdf](#)>.

Additional information about using guardian dogs is also available by contacting any of these USDA/APHIS /WS specialists: Roger A. Woodruff (18), Jim Luchsinger (19), or Jeffrey S. Green (20).

For additional information on livestock guard dogs:

- The 1988 Oregon State University publication *Raising and Training a Livestock-guarding Dog* is available for \$1.50 (postage and shipping included) from Publications Orders, Extension & Station Communications, Oregon State University, 422 Kerr Administration, Corvallis, OR 97331-2119, (541) 737-2513, or at <<http://eesc.orst.edu/agcomwebfile/edmat/EC1238.pdf>>.
- The 2001 book *Dogs: A Startling New Understanding of Canine Origin, Behavior, and Evolution* by Raymond Coppinger and Lorna Coppinger, Scribner Publisher, ISBN #: 0-684-85530-5, has an excellent chapter on Livestock Guardian Dogs. This book is available from Amazon.com and many other bookstores.
- The C&C Farm Website, <<http://www.c-c-farms.com>>, is a good source of practical information on guard dogs. C&C Farm's Beverly Coate is the author of the book *Ain't Life Grand with a Great Pyrenees Guarding the Flock*. (See **Further Resources: Books**, for ordering information.)

DONKEYS

Donkeys make good guard animals because they naturally hate dogs and coyotes, are not afraid of them, and like to intimidate them. Donkeys also are social animals that will associate with other species of livestock in the absence of other donkeys; however, it can take a donkey four to six weeks to fully bond with a sheep flock. Because they can eat what the sheep eat, guard

Some additional guard donkey guidelines are:

- Select donkeys from medium- to large-size stock. Do not use extremely small or miniature donkeys.
- Do not acquire a donkey that cannot be culled or sold if it fails to perform properly.
- Use jennies and geldings. Jacks are usually too aggressive.
- Test a new donkey's guarding response by challenging the donkey with a dog in a corral or small pasture.
- Use only one donkey or jenny and foal per pasture.
- Isolate guard donkeys from horses, mules, or other donkeys.
- To increase the probability of bonding, donkeys should be raised from birth or placed at weaning with livestock.
- Raise guard donkeys away from dogs. Avoid or limit the use of herding dogs around donkeys.
- Monitor the use of guard donkeys at lambing, calving, or kidding, as some may be aggressive to newborns or too possessive. Remove the guard animals for a period of time if necessary.
- Use donkeys in open pastures with no more than 200 head of sheep, goats, or cattle for best results. Large pastures, rough terrain, dense brush and too large a herd lessen the effectiveness of guard donkeys. (21)

donkeys can be low maintenance; however, it is also important to feed the donkey something at the same time the sheep are fed. This will help the donkey understand that if it stays by the flock it will not miss a meal. Do not overfeed the donkey or let it become overweight. Never feed the donkey away from the flock; you want the donkey to stay always with the flock (21). It is very important that donkeys do not receive any feed that contains Rumensin, Bovatec, urea, or other products intended only for ruminant animals, as they can be poisonous to single-stomached ani-

mals like donkeys. Donkeys need routine veterinary care, such as hoof trimming, teeth filing, and parasite management. Hoof care is very important, and all donkeys need to be trained to accept hoof trimming.

Additional information on using guard donkeys is available from the following sources:

- The Ontario publication *Guidelines for Using Donkeys as Guard Animals with Sheep* provides excellent information on many of the considerations for determining whether using guard donkeys is best for a producer's situation. It is available at <<http://www.gov.on.ca/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/donkey2.htm>>.
- The Alberta publication *The Donkey: Management* is a good source of general information on donkeys and is available at <<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/agdex/400/6700201b.html>>.
- The American Donkey and Mule Society, Inc., is a good source of information and can be contacted at PO Box 1210, Lewisville, TX 75067, by phone at (972) 219-0781, or at <<http://www.lovelongears.com>>.

LLAMAS

Llamas are aggressive toward coyotes and dogs. When they spot a predator or intruder, most llamas give a warning call, walk or run toward the intruder, and then begin to chase, kick, and paw at it. Llamas are easy to handle, can usually be trained in a few days, and have a high success rate. Once a llama is attached to the sheep and area, the area and sheep become the llama's territory and family. The llama becomes an active leader and protector. Llamas often play with lambs. Llamas seem to bond with cattle as well as they bond with sheep and goats (21).



Courtesy of Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa

Llamas with long hair may need shearing occasionally. Llamas that have bonded with humans by bottle-feeding or excessive handling may not make good guard animals (22).

Although llamas are good guardians against single coyotes and some other predators, they (like other guard animals) can be killed by packs of coyotes or dogs, or even a single neighborhood dog that is not intimidated by the guard animal's aggressive attitude. If the llama's aggressive attitude is not sufficient to scare off the predator, the llama may become prey itself, because it is about as defenseless as the animals it is guarding. Good fencing is a must to help llamas better protect themselves, but even that may not be enough in all circumstances (23).

In a 1990-91 Iowa State University study (24), researchers interviewed 145 sheep producers throughout the United States who were using guard llamas. The study looked at the characteristics of guard llamas and at their husbandry. Some of the report's results are:

- Most introductions require only a few days or less for sheep and llama to adjust to each other.
- The average ranch uses one gelded male llama pastured with 250 to 300 sheep on 250 to 300 acres.
- Sheep and lamb losses average 26 head per year (11 percent of flock) before using guard llamas and 8 head per year (1 percent of flocks) after.
- More than half of guard llama owners report 100 percent reduction in predator losses.
- Llamas are introduced to sheep and pastured with sheep under a variety of situations.

The Iowa State report is summarized in the publication *Guard Llamas: A Part of Integrated Sheep Protection*, available for \$0.75 plus \$1 shipping from Extension Distribution Center, 119 Printing and Publications Building, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3171, by phone at (515) 294-5247 or on their website at <<http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/PM1527.pdf>>.

- Multiple guard llamas are not as effective as one llama.
- Ranchers estimate an annual savings of \$1,034, and 85 percent say they would recommend guard llamas to others.
- Protectiveness of sheep and easy maintenance are the two most commonly cited advantages.
- Problems encountered include aggressiveness and attempted breeding of ewes, overprotection of flock, and sheep interference with the feeding llama.
- Overall, llamas are effective guards with high sheep producer satisfaction.

The Website Llamapaedia is another good source of general management, maintenance, and other practical information about llamas. Two Llamapaedia publications on guard llamas are: *Sheep Guarding* and *Guarding Behavior* at <http://www.llamapaedia.com/uses/guard.html> and <http://www.llamapaedia.com/behavior/guardbehav.html>.

MULTISPECIES GRAZING

Dr. Dean M. Anderson at the USDA Jornada Experiment Range (JER) in New Mexico has been working on using bonding between cattle and sheep to create what is called a “flerd,” a bonded herd of cattle and flock of sheep for free-ranging conditions. The flerd is created by pen bonding a small group of around 7 weaned lambs of the same gender with 3 non-aggressive or non-abusive heifers or cows for about a month and a half or two months. The pen bonding process conditions the sheep to bond with the cattle and stay close to the cattle when they are foraging in the pasture, rather than forming two separate groups. When a threat appears, the bonded sheep run among the cattle and stay there until the threat is over. (When a threat appears, non-bonded sheep bunch together and stay independent of the cattle.) The number and size of the cattle apparently protects bonded sheep. The bonding seems to work only one-way, with the sheep changing their behavior, and the cattle seeming just to tolerate the presence of the sheep (25).



Pen confinement to establish bonding can be incorporated into other management strategies such as pen lambing or winter feeding. When pen bonding is initiated, it is important to have a safe area where the sheep can escape if the cattle become aggressive. During the first day of bonding, the sheep should be confined in a safe area with the cattle on the other side. After the first day the sheep should be allowed into the cattle area to begin eating and socializing together. The sheep’s location in the pen can highlight problems; sheep with abusive cattle will spend twice as much time in the safe area as sheep with non-abusive cattle. Dr. Anderson’s research suggests that penning recently weaned lambs or kids with docile, gentle cattle for a minimum of 40 to 50 consecutive day of uninterrupted confinement can result in a consistent bond. Dr. Anderson is attempting to find ways to reduce the necessary bonding time (25).

Besides predator protection, bonded flocks provide the benefits of multi-species grazing. Grazing both species together makes a better use of the forage in the pasture. Anderson recommends “sheep-proof” boundary fences but adds that “sheep-proof” internal fencing is not necessary for the flerd, because the sheep consistently remain with the cattle during both foraging and resting. Flerds are not limited to sheep and cattle. Dr. Ander-

Other ATTRA publications on multispecies grazing and pasture management:

- [Multispecies Grazing](#)
- [Sustainable Pasture Management](#)
- [Rotational Grazing](#)
- [Matching Livestock and Forage Resources in Controlled Grazing](#)
- [Introduction to Paddock Design & Fencing-Water Systems for Controlled Grazing](#)
- [Assessing the Pasture Soil Resource](#)
- [Nutrient Cycling in Pastures](#)

son has also bonded 5-month-old mohair kids and 100-day-old Spanish kids with cattle. Some of the Spanish kids demonstrated few flocking tendencies, but Dr. Anderson considers it possible to create a Spanish goat herd by selecting only animals that stay with the herd, and eliminating any that refuse. The mohair kids seemed to flock readily and to bond well with both the cattle and the sheep (25).

For additional information on bonding cattle, sheep, and/or goats, contact Dr. Dean M. Anderson, at USDA/ARS, MSC 3JER, New Mexico State University, PO Box 30003, Las Cruces, NM 88003-0003, or phone at (505) 646-5190.

References

- 1) O'Brien, Anita. 1997. Management Practices Can Influence Predation. Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. 2 p. <http://www.gov.on.ca:80/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/info_mgmtpredinf.htm>.
- 2) Main, Martin B. 2000. Interpreting the Physical Evidence of Predation on Domestic Livestock. Southwest Florida Research and Education Center. 3 p. <http://www.imok.ufl.edu/wild/coyote/pred_id.htm>.
- 3) National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2000. Sheep and Goats Predator Loss. Agricultural Statistics Board, U. S. Department of Agriculture. p 1. <<http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/reports/nassr/livestock/pgg-bbsg/predan00.pdf>>.
- 4) Tapscott, Brian. 1997. Something's Been Killing My Sheep – But What? How to Differentiate Between Coyote and Dog Predation. Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. 5 p. <<http://www.gov.on.ca:80/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/coydog2.htm>>.
- 5) Wade, Dale A., and James E. Bowns. 1997. Procedures for Evaluating Predation on Livestock and Wildlife. 15 p. <<http://texnat.tamu.edu/ranchref/predator/b-1429-2.htm>>.
- 6) Wildlife Services. No date. Protecting American livestock: An Important and Successful Federal Role. USDA/APHIS. 2 p. <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/introreports/livestock.pdf>>.
- 7) Richardson, Craig. 2001. Family Dogs Attack Sheep. Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs. 2 p. <<http://www.gov.on.ca:80/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/familydog.htm>>.
- 8) Ross, C. V. 1989. Controlling predator losses in sheep. In: Sheep Production and Management. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey. p. 388–402.
- 9) White, Blane. 2000. Wolves in Farm Country: A Guide for Minnesota Farmers and Ranchers Living in Wolf Territory. Minnesota Department of Agriculture. 5 p. <<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/AMS/wolf.htm>>.
- 10) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. 1994. A Producers Guide to Preventing Predation of Livestock. USDA Agriculture Information Bulletin No. 650. 14 p. <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/prodguide.pdf>>.
- 11) Anon. 1997. Protecting Livestock from Predation with Electric Fences. Alberta Agriculture, Food, and Rural Development. 5 p. <<http://www.agri.gov.ab.ca/agdex/600/684-7.html>>.
- 12) Predator Defense Institute. 1997. Controlling Coyote Damage to Livestock. 6 p. <<http://pdi.enviroweb.org/alternat.htm>>.
- 13) McAdoo, J. Kent, and Hudson A. Glimp. 2000. Sheep management as a deterrent to predation. Rangelands. June. p. 21–24.
- 14) Paul, William J., and Philip S. Gipson. 1994. Wolves. In: Prevention and Control of Wildlife Damage – 1994. p. C-123–C-129.

- 15) Henderson, F. Robert, and Clifford W. Spaeth. 1980. Managing Predator Problems: Practices and Procedures for Preventing and Reducing Livestock Losses. Cooperative Extension Service. Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS. 20 p. <<http://www.oznet.ksu.edu/library/wldlf2/c620.pdf>>.
- 16) Fytche, Eugene L. 1998. ...May Safely Graze. Eugene Fytche, Almonte, Ontario. 103 p.
- 17) USDA/APHIS. 1998. Livestock Guarding Dogs Factsheet. Wildlife Services. January. 3 p. <<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/gdog.html>> or </gdogs.pdf>.
- 18) Roger A Woodruff
USDA/APHIS/WS
720 O'Leary Street, NW
Olympia, WA 98502
(360) 753-9884
- 19) Jim Luchsinger
USDA/APHIS/WS
5949 S. 58th Street
P.O. Box 81866
Lincoln, NE 68501-1866
(402) 434-2340
- 20) Jeffrey S. Green
USDA/APHIS/WS
12345 W. Alameda Parkway, Suite 204
Lakewood, CO 80228
(303) 969-6565, Extension 233
- 21) Braithwait, Jim. 1996. Using Guard Animals to Protect Livestock. Missouri Department of Conservation. 14 p. <http://www.conservation.state.mo.us/documents/landown/wild/guard_animals.pdf>.
- 22) International Llama Association. 1995. Guard Llamas: An Alternative for Effective Predator Management. Educational Brochure # 2. 4 p. <[http://www.internationalllama.org/html/pdf/\(2\)%20guard%20llamas.pdf](http://www.internationalllama.org/html/pdf/(2)%20guard%20llamas.pdf)>.
- 23) Castalia Llama. 2000. Llamas as Guardians – NOT! 6 p. <<http://www.rockisland.com/~castalia/guard.html>>.
- 24) Franklin, William L., and Kelly J. Powell. 1994. Guard Llamas: A part of Integrated Sheep Protection. Pm-1527 Iowa State University. 12 p. <<http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/PM1527.pdf>>.
- 25) Anderson, Dean M. 1998. Pro-active livestock management – Capitalizing on Animal Behavior. Journal of Arid Land Management. p. 113-116.

Further Resources

WEBSITES

USDA/APHIS/WS

<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ws/statereportindex.html>

Each state's Wildlife Service activity report and state WS contact information.

<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/guarddog.pdf>

Publication *Livestock Guarding Dogs Protecting Sheep from Predators*.

<http://www.aphis.usda.gov/oa/pubs/prodguide.pdf>

Publication *A Producers Guide to Preventing Predation of Livestock*.

National Association of State Departments of Agriculture

http://www.nasda-hq.org/nasda/nasda/member_information/gen_main.htm

Each state's Department of Agriculture contact information listed in a directory.

Maryland Small Ruminant Page

<http://www.sheepandgoat.com/predator.html>

"Predator and Wildlife Management" has links to many different sources of information and publications in all areas of predator damage control and management.

<http://www.sheepandgoat.com/fencing.html>

"Fencing" has links to many publications on fencing, as well as many fencing vendors.

**Alberta Agriculture, Food, and Rural
Development Ministry**

<http://www.gov.on.ca:80/OMAFR/english/livestock/sheep/facts/coydog2.htm>

Publication *Something's Been Killing My Sheep – But What? How to Differentiate Between Coyote and Dog Predation.*

<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/agdex/600/684-7.html>

Publication *Protecting Livestock from Predation with Electric Fencing.*

<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/agdex/400/6700201b.html>

Publication *The Donkey: Management.*

**Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and
Rural Affairs**

<http://www.gov.on.ca/OMAFRA/english/livestock/sheep/facts/donkey2.htm>

Publication *Guidelines for Using Donkeys as Guard Animals with Sheep.*

Canadian Federation of Agriculture

<http://www.cfa-fca.ca/english/publications/wildlife/wolf.htm>

Publication *Preventing Wolf Predation on Private Land.*

Iowa State University

<http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/SA8.pdf>

Publication *Composting Dead Livestock: A New Solution to an Old Problem.*

http://www.suite101.com/print_article.cfm/9948/63040

Article "Sheep In, Coyotes Out: High Tensile Electric Fencing."

<http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/PM1527.pdf>

Publication *Guard Llamas: A Part of Integrated Sheep Protection.*

Minnesota Department of Agriculture

<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/AMS/wolf.htm>

Publication *Wolves in Farm Country: A Guide for Minnesota Farmers and Ranchers Living in Wolf Territory.*

<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/composting/compostguide.pdf>

Publication *Composting Animal Mortalities.*

Missouri Department of Conservation

http://www.conservation.state.mo.us/documents/landown/wild/guard_animals.pdf

Publication *Using Guard Animals to Protect Livestock.*

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

<http://deal.unl.edu/icwdm/handbook.shtml>

Book *Prevention and Control of Wildlife Damage – 1994* has separate chapters for more than 90 species of wildlife that may cause damage to crops or livestock. Each of these chapters provides identification, damage prevention, and control methods.

Oregon State University

<http://eesc.orst.edu/agcomwebfile/edmat/PNW225.pdf>

Publication *Building an Electric Antipredator Fence.*

<http://eesc.orst.edu/agcomwebfile/edmat/EC1238.pdf>

Publication *Raising and Training a Livestock-guarding Dog.*

Texas A&M University

<http://texnat.tamu.edu/ranchref/predator/b-1429-2.htm>

Publication *Procedures for Evaluating Predation on Livestock and Wildlife.*

American Sheep Industry Association, Inc.

<http://www.sheepusa.org/resources/predcontrol.shtml>

The book *Sheep Production Handbook* chapter entitled "Fencing Against Predators."

American Donkey and Mule Society, Inc.

<http://www.lovelongears.com>

Good source of general information on donkeys and guard donkeys.

C&C Farm Website

<http://www.c-c-farms.com>

Good source of general management and great practical information on guard dogs.

Livestock and Poultry Environmental Stewardship

http://www.lpes.org/Lessons/Lesson51/51_Mortality_Management.html

Curriculum: *Lesson 51: Mortality Management* on composting dead livestock.

Llamapaedia Website

<http://www.llamapaedia.com/uses/guard.html>

Publication *Sheep Guarding*.

<http://www.llamapaedia.com/behavior/guardbehav.html>

Publication *Guarding Behavior*.

BOOKS

...May Safely Graze: Protecting Livestock Against Predators

Eugene Fytche

R.R. 1

Almonte, Ontario K0A 1A0

(613) 256-1798

Book is \$12.95 including shipping to U.S.

Prevention and Control of Wildlife Damage Handbook – 1994

Wildlife Damage Handbook

202 Natural Resources Hall

University of Nebraska

Lincoln, NE 68583-0810

(402) 472-2188

<http://wildlifedamage.unl.edu/>

Book is \$40 plus \$5 shipping. CD-ROM is \$40 plus \$3 shipping.

Coyote Predation of Livestock – Agdex 684-19 for \$8 Canadian plus shipping or

Fencing with Electricity – Agdex 724-6 for \$10 Canadian plus shipping or

Methods of Investigating Predation of Livestock – Agdex 684-14 for \$8 Canadian plus shipping

Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development Publication Office

(780) 427-0391

<http://www.agric.gov.ab.ca/agdex/000/orderin.html>

Visa and MasterCard orders only

Ain't Life Grand with a Great Pyrenees Guarding the Flock

C&C Farms

Route 3, Box 6815

Stigler, OK 74462

(918) 967-4871

http://www.c-c-farms.com/book_order_pg.html

Book is \$23.95 including postage to U.S.

By NCAT Staff

Edited by Paul Williams

Formatted by Gail Hardy

October 2002

IP196

The electronic version of **Predator Control for Sustainable & Organic Livestock Production** is located at:

HTML

<http://www.attra.ncat.org/attra-pub/predator.html>

PDF

<http://www.attra.ncat.org/attra-pub/PDF/predator.pdf>

Appendix: Disposal of Dead Livestock

Regulations for disposal of livestock mortalities vary from state to state. Most states require timely disposal of mortalities, usually within 24 to 48 hours. A state's Department of Agriculture is usually in charge of regulations concerning the allowable methods of disposal, including incineration, burying, rendering, and/or composting. Producers should contact their local Extension Agent or their Department of Agriculture (Department of Health in Arkansas) for specific regulations and requirements. The National Association of State Departments of Agriculture has each state's contact information listed in a directory located at

<http://www.nasda-hq.org/nasda/nasda/member_information/gen_main.htm>.

Incineration of the carcass is one disposal method. Incinerators can be expensive to buy and operate, and their capacity is generally limited to smaller animals. Some incinerators may generate air pollution and objectionable odors. Incinerators are not very practical for small or mid-size livestock producers, if other disposal methods are available.

Burial is a common practice and is generally regulated by the state. The livestock carcass usually needs to be buried 4 to 8 feet deep, and the possible problem of contamination leaching into the ground water needs to be considered. Handling animal mortalities by burial in the winter with the ground frozen can also pose problems. Scavengers can uncover improperly buried mortalities.

Renderers' pickup services vary greatly from one area to another. Renderer pickup, if available, may be costly and be limited to certain quantities and/or species (sheep and goats are usually not picked up because of concerns about scrapie infection) (1).

Composting livestock carcasses may also be regulated by the state; some states do not allow sheep or goat composting because of concerns about scrapie. If composting is allowed, producers should consider it because composting is cost effective, environmentally sound, and relatively easy. Composting dead animals is achieved by layering the carcasses and the organic waste amendments according to a prescribed plan and not mixing the materials until the composting has finished and the dead animals are fully decomposed (longer time for larger carcasses). Compost piles that are properly constructed and correctly covered with compost mixed to capture odors will not attract scavengers. However, fencing should be used around compost piles to keep out predators and dogs.

The Natural Resource, Agriculture and Engineering Service (NRAES) has two excellent publications on composting that provide specific mortality composting guidelines. They are *On-Farm Composting Handbook*, NRAES-54 for \$25 plus postage, and the *Field Guide to On-Farm Composting*, NRAES-114 for \$14 plus postage. They can be ordered at (607) 255-7654 or at <<http://www.nraes.org>>.

Other sources of information on composting livestock carcasses are:

- The publication *Composting Animal Mortalities* at <<http://www.mda.state.mn.us/composting/compostguide.pdf>>
- The website *Livestock and Poultry Environmental Stewardship Curriculum: Lesson 51: Mortality Management* at <http://www.lpes.org/Lessons/Lesson51/51_Mortality_Management.html>
- The publication *Composting dead livestock: A new solution to an old problem* at <<http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publications/SA8.pdf>>

Reference: 1) Stanford, K., et al. 2000. Composting as a means of disposal of sheep mortalities. *Compost Science and Utilization*. Spring. p. 13-146.